

Particle Size Data Interpretation and Communication

Jeffrey Bodycomb, Ph.D.



July. 13, 2021

Analysis Techniques



Size Terminology

The most 0.1µm 1.0µm 10µm **100µm** common designation is **10-**¹⁰ **10-**⁹ **10-**⁴ 10-7 **10-**⁶ **10-**⁵ **10-**³ **10-**² **10-**⁸ **10-**¹ 10-0 micrometers or 100 nm microns. When millimeter micrometer nanometer meter very small, in micron or µm nm mm m colloid region, "beard-second" Angstrom measured in (Å) nanometers, C-H bond with electron length microscopes or by dynamic light Fun tip: Describing your work in terms of beard-seconds make it much more scattering.

interesting at parties.

Microns	US Mesh	Tyler Mesh
4750	4	4
1180	16	14
250	60	60
63	230	250

As size goes down, mesh goes up.



What is the most meaningful size?





What size can you measure?

Economics means many size parameters are a little odd.





Particle distribution

So far talked trying to get a size from one particle.



A real sample is a mixture of many sizes





Things are more complicated

1

Particle Size Particle Size Distribution



4 microns

Showing a distribution

Displayed as a histogram, a line plot of the differential distribution, or as a cumulative plot.

All represent the same data.

Histogram overlays do not look very good.



Your analyzer's displays











Interpreting a cumulative distribution

Cumulative distributions show the fraction of particles smaller than a particular size.

The plot on the right shows how to interpret the points of the cumulative distribution.



Summarize: Central values





Z-average (DLS)

Size determined from intensity weight diffusion coefficient ~1/D

Intensity weighted harmonic mean size

$$\frac{1}{D_z} = \frac{\sum D_i S_i}{\sum S_i}$$

 $D_z = z$ -average $S_i = total scattering from all of species i$ $D_i = Diameter of species all$

```
As size goes up, so does D_z.
```



Here we show how to extract "D" values.

Use the cumulative distribution to find size corresponding to fraction.

For D10, 10% of particles are smaller than 81 nm.

D50 is the median of the size distribution (half of particle larger, half smaller).

Note D90 is further from D50 than D10.



% less than

Another way of expressing the data is % less than.

For example, you may wan to find the fraction of particles that are smaller than a cutoff value (say a nominal filter size).

This is the D value interpretation reversed.





Why not use D100?, D0?

The graph shows there are no particles less than 40 nm. And there are no particles larger than 920 nm. That is, D0= 40 nm and D100 = 920 nm.

BUT, this is data from your <u>sample</u>.

RIBA

To really claim you have no particles of a size, you have to measure all of your particles. That is generally not reasonable.



What about width?



Width is also be used to describe a distribution.

Values can include -Standard Deviation -Span (D90-D10)/D50 or (D85-D15)/D50 -Geometric Standard Deviation





Linear vs logarithmic X axis



Same number of bins for both over same range. Note that log data uses equal areas convention (ISO-9276-1). That is, we plot dC/d(log X)

What does Mean mean?

Three spheres of diameters 1,2,3 units



This is called the D[1,0] - the number mean



Many possible mean values

$$X_{nl} = D[1,0] = \frac{1+2+3}{3} = 2.00$$

Number weighted mean Diameter (length)

$$X_{ns} = D[2,0] = \sqrt{\frac{1+4+9}{3}} = 2.16$$

Mean surface diameter

Mean volume diameter

None of the answers are wrong they have just been calculated using different techniques

$$X_{nv} = D[3,0] = \sqrt[3]{\frac{1+8+27}{3}} = 2.29$$

Volume/surface mean,

$$X_{vm} = D[4,3] = \frac{1+16+81}{1+8+27} = 2.72$$

 $X_{sv} = D[3,2] = \frac{1+8+27}{1+2+3} = 2.57$

Volume weighted mean diameter



Moment Ratios: ISO 9276-2

For your reference

$$D[p,q] = \left[\frac{\sum n_i D_i^p}{\sum n_i D_i^q}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-q}} \quad p \neq q$$

$$D[p,q] = \exp\left[\frac{\sum n_i D_i^p \ln D_i}{\sum n_i D_i^q}\right] \quad p = q$$



Common summations

$$D[1,0] = \frac{d_1 (d_1^0) + d_2 (d_2^0) + d_3 (d_3^0) + \dots}{(d_1^0) + (d_2^0) + (d_3^0) + \dots} = \frac{d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + \dots}{1 + 1 + 1 + \dots}$$

$$D[3,2] = \frac{d_1 (d_1^2) + d_2 (d_2^2) + d_3 (d_3^2) + \dots}{(d_1^2) + (d_2^2) + (d_3^2) + \dots}$$

$$D[4,3] = \frac{d_1 \ (d_1^3) + d_2 \ (d_2^3) + d_3 \ (d_3^3) + \ \dots}{(d_1^3) + (d_2^3) + (d_3^3) + \ \dots}$$



D[3,2] is the volume/surface mean or Sauter mean.

Diameter of a particle having the same volume/surface area ratio as the population of particles.

This is useful where specific surface area is important, such as catalysis, dissolution, and bioavailability



D[4,3] which is often referred to as the Volume Mean Diameter [VMD]

D[4,3] =
$$\frac{\sum D_{i}^{4} n_{i}}{\sum D_{i}^{3} n_{i}}$$

Monitoring the D[4,3] value in your specification will emphasize the detection of large particles

Mean Size

The frequency distribution is found using the arithmetical mean diameter, as shown in the formula below.

 $Mean \ Diameter = \Sigma\{q(J) \times X(J)\} \ / \ \Sigma\{q(J)\}$

J : Particle Diameter Division Number

q(J): Frequency Distribution Value (%)

 $X(J)\colon$ Jth Particle Diameter Range's Representative Diameter (µm).



For your reference

Variance

The value for the expanded distribution condition is found using the formula below.

Variance =
$$\sum \left[(X(J) - Mean)^2 \frac{q(J)}{100} \right]$$

J : Particle Diameter Division Number q(J) : Distribution Graph Value(%) X(J): Jth Particle Diameter Range's Representative Diameter (μm) Mean: Arithmetic Mean Diameter (μm)

Std. Dev.

Value taken from variance value's square root.

Coefficient of Variation (CV) This result of dividing the arithmetic standard deviation (Std. Dev.) by the mean diameter.

Mode Size

Frequency distribution value's largest values that become particle diameters of the frequency distribution graph's peak.

Span

Value that becomes the criteria for widening the distribution, as shown below. Not displayed if both of the diameter on cumulative % are not set.

Span Value = (Diameter on cumulative % A - Diameter on cumulative % B)

Median diameter

Diameter on cumulative % A: the first value set in the display conditions. Diameter on cumulative % B: the second value set in the display conditions.

Note: Span typically = $(d_{90} - d_{10})/d_{50}$



For your reference

Geometric Mean Size

The frequency distribution is found using the geometric mean value, as shown in the formula below.

Geometric Mean Diameter = $10\sum \frac{(\log X(J) \times q(J))}{\sum q(J)}$

J : Particle Diameter Division Number

q(J) : Frequency Distribution Value (%)

X(J): Jth Particle Diameter Range's Representative Diameter (µm)

Geometric Variance

The value for the expanded distribution condition is found using the formula below.

Geometric Variance = $10\sum (\log X(J) - \log (Mean))^2 \cdot \frac{q(J)}{100}$

J : Particle Diameter Division Number

q(J) : Frequency Distribution Value (%)

X(J) : Jth Particle Diameter Range's Representative Diameter (µm)

Mean : Geometric Mean Diameter (µm)

Geometric Standard Deviation

Geometric Distribution Deviation = $10\sqrt{\sum (\log X(J) - \log (Mean))^2 \cdot \frac{q(J)}{100}}$

- J : Particle Diameter Division Number
- q(J) : Frequency Distribution Value (%)
- X(J) : Jth Particle Diameter Range's Representative Diameter (µm)

Mean : Geometric Mean Diameter (µm)



Number vs volume distributions





Beans (Lima, Black Bean, Mung Beans)





Equal volumes of beans





Different numbers of beans





Equal number of beans





Different volumes





Number vs volume distributions



Statistical issues with distributions

L Neumann, E T White, T Howes (Univ. Queensland) "What does a mean size mean?" 2003 AIChE presentation at Session 39 Characterization of Engineered particles November 16 - 21 San Francisco

Other references:

L Neumann, T Howes, E T White (2003) Breakage can cause mean size to increase Dev. Chem. Eng. Mineral Proc. J. White E T, Lawrence J. (1970), Variation of volume surface mean for growing particles, Powder Technology,4, 104 - 107



Particle size measurements often made to

monitor a process

- Size reduction (milling)
- Size growth (agglomeration)
- Does the measured/calculated mean diameter describe the change due to the process? It depends on which mean used...



Size reduction





Ten particles of size 1; one of size 100 units Number mean = D[1, 0] = (10*1 + 1*100)/11 = 10 units

Largest particle (100) breaks into two of 79.37 (conserves volume/mass: 2 @ 79.37³ = 1 @ 100³) Have broken one What happens to the number mean?

Number Mean (broken = (10*1+2*79.37)/12 = <u>14.06</u> units

Surprise, surprise a 40.6% increase!



Size reduction: volume mean

Ten particles of size 1; one of size 100 units Volume Moment Mean

 $D[4, 3] = (10*1^4 + 1*100^4)/(10*1^3 + 1*100^3) \sim 100$ units

Largest particle (100) breaks into two of 79.37 (conserves volume/mass: 2 @ 79.37³ = 1 @ 100³) Have broken one What happens to the D[4, 3]?

New D[4, 3] = (10*1⁴+2*79.37⁴)/(10*1³ +2*79.37³) ~ <u>79.37</u> units

This shows the expected behavior



The problem





Size growth



Ten 46.4 mm particles agglomerate into one 100 mm particle



Growth: number mean

Ten particles of size 1; ten of size 46.42 D[1, 0] = (10*1 + 10*46.42)/20 = 23.71 units

Ten of 46.42 agglomerate into one of 100 (conserves volume/mass: 10 @ 46.42³ = 1 @ 100³) Have agglomerated half; does mean increase?

Mean = (10*1+1*100)/11 = 10 units

Over a 50% decrease!



Ten particles of size 1; ten of size 46.42 $D[4, 3] = (10^{*}1^{4} + 10^{*}46.42^{4})/(10^{*}1^{3} + 10^{*}46.42^{3}) \sim \frac{46.4}{10^{*}10$

Ten of 46.42 agglomerate into one of 100 (conserves volume/mass: 10 @ 46.42³ = 1 @ 100³) Have agglomerated half; does mean increase?

 $D[4, 3] = (10^{*}1^{4}+1^{*}100^{4})/10^{*}1^{3} + 1^{*}100^{3} \sim 100$ units This shows the expected behavior



The problem





Not just a "party trick" topic! "Do you know you can break particles and the mean will increase?"

 Serious. "Did an experiment. I thought I broke particles but the mean has increased" (REAL experience)

•Should be aware it can happen!

Analyse whole size distribution, not mean alone.



Volume is good for many processes as illustrated

Some processes depend on small particles, so number is more valuable.

dust suspension viscosity

It is generally easier to convert from number to volume than volume to number.



Concluding comments: common measures

How was it measured? What technique/analyzer? What parameter (median, mean, mode)? What basis (volume, number, surface area)?





